American University Washington College of Law

2024 InterAmerican Human Rights Moot Court Competition

Hypothetical CaseProtection and Guarantees of Human Rights in Digital Environments

Luciano Benítez v. Republic of Varaná

THE REPUBLIC OF VARANÁ

1. The Republic of Varaná is an island nation in the South Atlantic Ottelaans an area of

enactment The Constitution authorizes the different branches of government to carry out diffuse/concreteconstitutional review

4. The Republic of Varaná is a state with a civil law tradition, in which codified law is a primary source of law

5. Article 3 establishes a signearterm of office for the president **the** Republic of Varaná. The same candidate may be reelected up to two til **Inergis** slatorsalso hold office for six years, and legislative elections are held every three years for half of the seats in the As**segisslay** tive representatives may be reelected indefinitely

6. Article 13 of the Constitution provides

"Free expression and freedom of the press are guarant expet has dissemination of thought and opinion, with no prior censors hap nonymity is prohibited. The State shall enact no law that precludes or restricts the freedoms enshrined in this article

Everyone has the right to generate, process, or disseminate information, used any lawful instrument suitable for such purposes

7. In addition, Article 11 of the Constitution provides

"All personshave the right to a good name and privacy, and the **State**the obligation to ensure these rights, as well as to prevent their infringement by third parties All personsalso have the right to know and update the information collected about them, and to request its rectification

8. The Republic of Varaná has ratified all the human rights instruments of the **Ainterican** human rights system, understood as those categorized as human rights tex **Depattment** of <u>International Law of the OA</u>SThe Republic of Varaná ratified the ACHR on 03/02/70, the same date on which it accepted the jurisdiction of the **Internet** Court of Human Rights, under Article 62 of the ACHR

9. In 2000, the National Assembly of Varaná passed Law 900, Article 11 of which reads

"Net neutrality The State shall ensure free access to the internetshall not allow discrimination of any kind. However, internet service providers may offer free applications in their plans in order to reduce the digital divide, which shall not be understood as discrimination."

10. Members of Congress Alberto Carranza and Marcela Puerro opposed the ent of this law, arguing in debate that it allowed zet and undermine the neutrality This argument was dismissed by the majorities in Congress and the law was passed in compliance with all legal requirements

11. Law 900 of 2000 made no further regulatory progress content was never expanded and no criteriawere ever established to define the scope of its application

12. At the same time, Article 10 of Law 22 of 2009 establishes

"Anonymity on social networks is prohibitel hdividuals may not create online profiles without linking their account to their national identity document."

13. In 2015, a coalition of four representatives of the Root Party, the main opponent of the Ocean party, introduced a Personal Data Protection Bildate, this billhas not been enacted, despite the Root Party majority in the National Assembly

THE OCEAN ERA AND VARANÁ' S ECONOMIC HEYDAY

14. Since the adoption of the Constitution until recently, the Ocean Party had won every presidential election, starting with the Novem Dercember 1993 election Still, international observation missions, including the OAESDM, have always reported these elections to the "and fair." The party has governed the country since February 1, 1994, with no question as to the democratic legitimacy of the governments elected til 2015, he Ocean Party won the majority of legislative seats

15. This period of Varanasian history is known as to effect an Era,'andit was characterized by accelerated economic developmentatis was due especially to the exploitation of the regions' natural resources, including an oil industry that, thanks to the discovery of new reserves in 1995, has made the country the eighth largest oil producer and exporter in the world since 2003.

16. However, a real transformation of the economic model began in 2002, when researchers from the National University of Varaná, funded by the "

25. Ever since his youth, Luciano has been interested in protecting the environment, especially the sea and coastal territories, and in preserving Paya ct-lteuregularly participated in meetings of Paya activists discussing the governmeeter hvironmental policies ant de actions of private companies Because he was eloquent and always very well informed, Luciano quickly became an opinion leader among is neighbors and friends in the capital amodong the inhabitants of his hometown in Río del Este

26. Luciano was also a steadfast opponent of projects for the exploration and exploitation of varanatic in polymetallic nodules inmarine areas rich in coral reefs and biodiversity participated in many narches and supported Assemblywoman Lucia Perez of the Root Party in her campaign against the expansion of varanatic exploitation activities in the Republic of Varaná

27. Unlike many people of Lucians' generation, he always saw new technological developments as an opportunity to make his life ealsie learned to use computers in public libraries when they were introduced, familiarized himself with threteinet as soon as it was accessible to the general public, and bought a cell phone as soon as he could.

28. He began to use social networks from his cell phone WirdFi networks, and to engage with groups of environmentalists in his instant messaging. Apply sgranddaughter also showed him apps where he could listen to music, pay his household utility bills, and evehisrance alth status Luciano was enthusiastic about how he was benefiting from new technologies

29. So, in2014, when his mobile carrier Mobile offered him all the apps available from Lulo,

monthly minimum wage at the time) for having started what the company describeshasaa " campaigh against it.

40. Luciano was represented by the NGO Blue Defense, which provides pro bono legal advice to people it considers to be human rights defen**Tens** NGO promptly toolthe caseconsidering thatLuciano (whose income wasproximatelytwice the minimum wage) was extremely worried about the large sum he could be ordered to pay, since he would be unable to satisfyethendebt if he soldvarious personal assetshe NGOs response to Eyselegal action, besides raising other arguments and issuestescribed the action as atrategic lawsuit against public participation (SLAPP), alleged that this type of lawsuit hat bailling effect" on journalistic and human rights advocacy work, and asked for Luciano's journalistic source to be protected under the principle of source confidentiality

41. In an interlocutory order, the Civil Trial Court of the Capital found that Luciano was not a journalist, as he only had a blog on LuloNetworkerefore, he could not claim the right to protect the confidentiality of hissourceOn November 4, 2014, the judge ordered Luciano to appear at an initial hearing scheduled for December 5, 20at4whichhe could be examined by the opposing partyandpresent evidence in his defentate NGO Blue Defenseppealed the interlocutory order. However, Luciano appeared at the hearing on December 5, 20at4whichhe hearing, Holding Eye attorney asked himWho gave you the information about the comparts with this question, Luciano asked the judgeDo I have to answer?to which the judge replied, The decision is up to you, but if you answer, this case may be over fäster the information, Luciano answered and revealed the email account he had contacted to obtain the information he published.

42. Holding Eye asserted at the hearing that this information was sufficient to identify the source and to protect its rights the future. The judge adjournet hearing in accordance with the requisite protocols On December 8, 2014, Holding Eye withdrew all of its claims and moved to dismiss the case he judgeconcluded the proceedings January 21, 2015 n February 12, 2015, the appellate court dismisses appeal filed by the NGO Blue Defense on behalf of Luciano, since the source had already been revealed the case was modified NGO filed a request for clarification, stating that one objective of the appeal was precisely for the court to find that Luciano was indeed a journalise to the court denied this motion, on the grounds that there was no procedural need to continue with the case, since the origin of the controversy had been resolved. This was an adversarial proceeding in which one of the parties waived all. Taienefore, to continue to process the case would undermine procedural economy and create a needless backlog in the courts

43. A few weeks after the December 2014 hearing, on February 4, 2015, Luciano was approached at the entrance of a National Assersession he was covering by a man who identified himself as the user of the email addressistlewhistle@pato.com The mantold Lucianohe was a junior lawyer in Holding Eyelegal department and salidat unfortunately he had been found out, fired from the company, and held liable fourthach of hisconfidentiality agreement to Eye On this basis, here as being sued in a confidential legal action could result in a fine of R\$00,000 (approximately US\$240,000) He also feared that he would be chargedcriminally. The man asked Luciano to keep this information confidential to avoid trouble, but urged Luciano to continue his woll also thanked Luciano for his truistpublishing the information. He then left and Luciano did not see him adjaio and did not share this information with anyone and never had contact with the man again, but he was very upset and, in addition to other factors (to be discussed below), he stopped posting on his blog for severate time he posted was February 28, 2015.

THE PROFILE

44. On December 7, 2014, a week before Election Day of that year, Federica Palacios, journalist and blogger for the statewored digital media outlet VaranáHogyublished an article entitled "Luciano Benítez: Environmental Fraud and PartneExtifactivists?" on her personal LuloNetwork blog, inconsistencies Revealed in the online newspaper VaranáHoy

45. Federica based her article on information given to her by an anonymous **Still**, cashe met all the requirementast truthfulness and impartiality. She took the information to a systems engineer who assured her that the information was accarateunmodified. Federica also confirmed the information with other sources. Lastly, she tacted Luciano to give him the opportunity to dispute the content of the article, but he refused to read or be part of the article, claiming that he had been greatly harmed by the previous incidents

46. The following are excerpts from the published article

Luciano Benítez: Environmental Fraud and Partner of Extractivists?

Luciano, the supposed protector of the environment may be a fraud. His actions are far from what hpreacles In this new installment of lhconsistencies Revealed

a. On August 16, 2014, Luciano attended the massive march in supp Holding Eyes varanatioperations in fact, according to our source, Benítez route began on 11th Street, right where the march was convened, and ended at

60. After months of continuous harassment on social media and not being able to rehabilitate his image with what he considered to be the truth, Luciano decided to disconnect from the online world. On Aug. 25, 2015, he took his old cell phone and burned it in a bonfire to symbolize the end of an era. He refused to buy a new one, despite constant requests from his children and friends so they could stay in touchle went into a deep depression and isolated himself in his home. He began to undergo psychological treatment, but still did not feel muchhafted

61. This created a lot of problems for Luciano, as he not only lost any semblance of a social life but also began to have troub**ae**cessing his pension and paying for some public services Monthly access to his pension was digitized and, despite insisting on going to the relevant offices, there was no way to complete the process in person. In addition, the water utility only received payments online. Bein**g**isconnected, Luciano could not find efficient alternatives

62. On August 8, 2015, months after the publication of the articlæciano Benítez: Environmental Fraud and Partner of Extractivilistshe Office of the Prosecutor General of the Nation announced that it had been investigatifred blo Méndez and Paulina Gonzálter IT experts working in the intelligence service of the Ministry of the Intersionce October 2014. These individuals were suspected of having used the software Andromeda to obtain the personal data of human rights activists from social media accounts, such as LuloNetwork, and mapping applications, such as LulocatioThis software, which the Prosecute Office claims was acquired to support the investigation of serious crimæred threats to national security, is installed on selected devices throughptifishing,¹² and is easily seldestructed to avoid suspicion of its presence. The person operating it can use it to access social networmlested to the device. Andromeda was developed by a Varanasian company, Vigila S.A., whose website states that its clients are government agencies only

63. In its announcement Prosecutors Office stated that the policever very efficient in the criminal case and by May 8, 2015, both individuals were in prison for computer crimes and abuse of authority through the properuse of government software. In its investigation, the Prosecutors Office found that Pablo Méndez and Paulina González had acted out of a personal desire to counteract the public engagement of profiles they believed could hinder the Ocean Party' victory in the 2014 National Assembly election bein efforts were in vain, as the Root Party won the election with a majority and Lucía Peréz veressiding over the Assembly The Prosecutors Office also found that these two individuals had unlawfully accessed Lusidate and shared n >>BD >>BT

PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INTER-AMERICAN HUMAN RIGHTS SYSTEM

75. Having exhausted all domestic remedies, Luciawith the support of the NGO Blue Defense

79. The hter-AmericanCourt summoned the parties in the case of Luciano Benítez v. Republic of Varanáto a hearing on th<u>e meri</u>tsincluding possible reparations and coststing that up to that point the State had not filedy preliminary objections. The hearing at which the parties ill present their arguments and closing statements take place during a regular session of the Inter-American Court of Human Rights, to be held in Washington, D.C. on May 20 to 24, 2024, at the American University Washington College of Law

Authors

Pedro Vaca Vanessa LópeØchoa Giovanny Padovam Ferreira

Editors

Claudia Martin Diego Rodrígue Pinzón Gabriel J. Ortiz Crespo Viktor Vilt

Translation

Aimee Sulivan